UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.             | FILING DATE                        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/571,279                  | 12/26/2006                         | Keiko Takahashi      | 1056-0133PUS1       | 6051             |
|                             | 7590 06/12/200<br>ART KOLASCH & BI | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| PO BOX 747                  |                                    | LOEWE, SUN JAE Y     |                     |                  |
| FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 |                                    |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                             |                                    | 1626                 |                     |                  |
|                             |                                    |                      |                     |                  |
|                             |                                    |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                             |                                    |                      | 06/12/2009          | ELECTRONIC       |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.  | Applicant(s)     |  |
|------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/571,279       | TAKAHASHI ET AL. |  |
| Examiner         | Art Unit         |  |
| SUN JAE Y. LOEWE | 1626             |  |

|   | CONTONE 1: ECEVIE  | 1020  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence add  | ress                                     |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>01 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperent for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:   | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavir<br>al (with appeal fee) in compliance       | t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or          | hich places the (3) a Request            |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date   | of the final rejection.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la   | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f   | b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u>   | по под регода сегото по с  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con   |  |   | cause                                    |  |  |  |  |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  |  | , — , ,   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or   | er form for appeal by materially red   | ducing or simplifying th                                  | ne issues for                            |  |  |  |  |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: The amendment sufficient to overcome 35   | USC 112 1st paragraph rejection.   | Outstanding ground o                                      | f rejection: 35                          |  |  |  |  |
| <u>USC 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph over the term substantially</u><br><u>claimed compound</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(   | (a)).  | •   | _  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  |  | mpliant Amendment (I                                      | PTOL-324).                               |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).  | ,  | •   | _  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov  |  | I be entered and an ex                                    | xplanation of                            |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  | ided below of appended.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or  | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea  | al and/or appellant fails                                 | s to provide a                           |  |  |  |  |
| showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary  10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but  | does NOT place the application in  | condition for allowand                                    | ce because:                              |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:  | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | /Golam M. M. Shameen   | n/  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |

/Golam M. M. Shameem/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626